01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
07	AT SEATTLE	
08	SCOTT DEAN CULP,) CASE NO.: C08-0714-JCC-MAT
09	Petitioner,)
10	v.	ORDER VACATING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DIRECTING RESPONSE TO § 2254 PETITION
11	BRUNO STOLC,	
12	Respondent.	
13)
14	On May 6, 2008, petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C.	
15	§ 2254. (Dkt. No. 1.) In his petition, petitioner presented five grounds for relief from his 2002	
16	King County Superior Court convictions on charges of rape in the first degree, unlawful	
17	imprisonment, assault in the third degree, and felony harassment. (Id.) The petition was ordered	
18	served on respondent and, on July 23, 2008, respondent filed a motion seeking dismissal of the	
19	petition on the grounds that it was time barred under 28 U.S.C. § 2244. (See Dkt. Nos. 3 and 10.)	
20	On September 3, 2008, this Court issued a Report and Recommendation in which it recommended	
21	that respondent's motion to dismiss be granted. (Dkt. No. 14.)	
22	On September 30, 2008, respondent filed a supplement to his motion to dismiss in which	
	ORDER VACATING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DIRECTING RESPONSE TO § 2254 PETITION PAGE -1	

he advises the Court that he inadvertently omitted a portion of petitioner's state court procedural history from his motion and that the complete state court procedural history reveals that petitioner's federal habeas petition is not time barred. Respondent requests that the Court vacate its earlier Report and Recommendation and that he be given an opportunity to file an answer to petitioner's petition.

It appears from the exhibits submitted to the Court in conjunction with respondent's supplement to his motion to dismiss that petitioner's federal habeas petition is not untimely under § 2244. The Court therefore agrees that the Report and Recommendation which recommended that the petition be dismissed as untimely should be vacated and that respondent should be given an opportunity to file an answer to the petition.

Accordingly, the Court does hereby ORDER as follows:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation issued by this Court on September 3, 2008, is VACATED.
- (2) Respondent is directed to file and serve an answer to petitioner's federal habeas petition, in accordance with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in United States District Courts, not later than *November 14*, 2008.

The answer will be treated in accordance with Local Rule CR 7. Accordingly, on the face of the answer, respondent shall note it for consideration on the fourth Friday after filing. Petitioner may file and serve a response no later than the Monday immediately preceding the Friday designated for consideration of the matter, and respondents may file and serve a reply no later than the Friday designated for consideration of the matter.

(3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for

RECOMMENDATION AND DIRECTING RESPONSE TO § 2254 PETITION PAGE -2

respondent, and to the Honorable John C. Coughenour. DATED this 20th day of October, 2008. United States Magistrate Judge ORDER VACATING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DIRECTING

RESPONSE TO § 2254 PETITION

PAGE -3